Polypyrrole-coated electrospun polystyrene-Fe (III) composite fibres
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ABSTRACT

Polypyrrole (Ppy) was synthesized via a chemical oxidization method with FeCl₃.6H₂O (FCHH) on electrospun polystyrene fibres ESPSF. The spinning process was achieved using Electrospinning for three solutions of polystyrene PS including 3, 5, and 9 % wt/v of FCHH in dimethyl formamide (DMF). The fibres diameters were monitored by scanning electron microscope SEM before and after coating, and it was found that the best results were achieved at low concentrations of FCHH. The Ppy coating was applied under four exposure periods (90, 150, 200, and 270 minutes) at 22°C; Fibre diameter and coating quality are directly related in the study. The technique suggests a potential route towards producing conducting fibres with micro/nanoscale dimensions using Electrospinning followed by vapor chemical polymerization of pyrrole.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Research in conducting polymers is still attracting great interest. Since earlier report by Diaz[1], many prospective novel applications have been found that many prospective novel applications have been found that take advantage of these new polymers. Applications include chemical sensors[2], biochemistry and tissue engineering[3,4], energy storage[5] and catalysis[6]. Although conducting polymers have been widely investigated there are only limited application for commercial uses[7]; the poor processability of those materials, due to brittleness and poor solubility as well are the main reasons for such commercial limitations[8–10]. Many attempts have been made to develop alternative approaches to overcome these drawbacks including synthesis soluble conducting polymers by introducing a bulky side chains[11,12] and
forming them by dispersion and emulsion polymerization[13–15]. Nowadays the family of conducting polymers includes well-known polymers such as Polypyrrole (PPy), polyaniline (PANi) and Polythiophene (PTs). These have been reportedly prepared by a variety of methods such as chemical, electrochemical oxidation and photo synthesis [8,16,17]. The first two listed approaches are attracted more interesting due to their simplicity. PPy has been frequently studied as result to it stability. For instance, it has been used to coated other organic and inorganic material including polymers films[18], nano particles[19] and fibres[20].

Attempts to produce conducting Ppy fibres by electrospinning have faced constrains due to the poor solubility of Ppy in most organic solvents. To overcome this; number of attempts have been reported, which in general include using another polymer as a carrier to the Ppy[21] or as substrate on which polymerization of Ppy can occur[22].

This study has aimed to explore the roots of the production of polystyrene/polypyrrole composite fibres by polymerizing pyrrole following the formation of substrate fibre. Polystyrene-based fibres containing an oxidant (Ferric chloride) were selected following promising early reports from the reading electrospinning team [23].

2. Materials and methods

The chemistry department at the University of Reading provides the electrospinning setup. It has been designed and set, including a high voltage syringe pump injector and drum collector made locally of 17 cm circumference (usually covered by the aluminum foil for each run). A Typical plastic syringe of 10 ml is attached to a blunt stainless-steel needle of 19 gauge. Figure 1 shows the schematic of the electrospinning setup. A micro ultrasonic disrupter was used to speed up polymer solution preparation and enhance homogeneity. Electron microscopy was performed with a scanning Stereoscan 360 from Cambridge Co., and X-ray diffraction XRD analysis was carried out using a Gemini S Ultra from Oxford Diffractometer. Finally, the imaging with transition electron microscopy was conducted using JEOL JEM-1200EX II.

Figure 1 represents the setup of ES process.
2.2. Polymer solutions:

Three solutions of PS of 20% wt/v in DMF were prepared by dissolving 2.0 gm of PS in 10 ml of DMF with the assistance of the ultrasonic probe and left for 24 hours. A 0.9, 0.5, and 0.3 gm of FeCl3 were added subsequently to the PS solution, and this was re-treated again with the Ultrasonic probe until there was no undissolved material. 1Electrospinning process was carried out at room temperature at (recorded 22-23C) and relative humidity of 46-50. (Table 1.) After collecting the spun fibres, these were dried inside the oven under vacuum at 45C for 6 hours to ensure the fibre was free from solvent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp No</th>
<th>Polymer qty (g)</th>
<th>DMF volume (ml)</th>
<th>Qty FCHH (g)</th>
<th>Applied voltage</th>
<th>flow rate ml/h</th>
<th>Collection Distance cm</th>
<th>Drum rpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Py11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16 Kv</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py14</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16 Kv</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py15</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>16 Kv</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Coating with Polypyrrole

Coating of spun PS/FCHH fibres were performed by exposing the fibres to pyrrole vapour at a fixed temperature. The setup used a three glass jars of 150 ml with lids; to prepare the reaction condition, about 0.57 gm of pyrrole was transfer to each jar before it put into a set temperature water bath at 22 C. After that three glass vials of 7ml volume were provided with a measured amount of the spun fibre from each sample and placed in the pyrrole reaction jar for four different periods 90, 150, 200 and 270 min, between each run the reaction jar was washed several time by acetone and methanol, then dried by a stream of air , this to make sure the quantity of pyrrole will be the same for each run, Table 2 list the various experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exposure time min</th>
<th>Quantity of fibre mg</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Quantity of Pyrrole g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Py11-a</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py11-b</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py11-c</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py11-d</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results and Discussion

Three different samples of spun fibre as a matrix of PS fibre with included FeCl₃ were prepared, the primary observation show a coloured fibre containing salt as an oxidation agent for the next step. It is obvious as the amount of ferric chloride increased the yellow colour of fibres became more vivid, this clearly demonstrated by the Figure 2, which represent an image of the three spun fibres samples after spinning.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Py14-a</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py14-b</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py14-c</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py14-d</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py15-a</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py15-b</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py15-c</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py15-d</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twelve specimens of fibres were exposed to pyrrole. The primary observation was that as the time of exposure to pyrrole increased, a change in fibres colour from pale yellow to grey and then to black was seen in Figure 3 represents an image of 12 samples of fibres of the three different spun fibres arranged in, and each group includes four specimens showing different exposure times. It was observed that fibres of Py15 (with a lower amount of the oxidant) responded more readily to coating in all exposure periods, and it lost its structure as a fibre after 270 min. At the same time, specimens Py14 a-d still retained the shape of fibre but suffered from shrinking. Sample Py11 did not appear to be coated entirely for all the fibres, even at the longest exposure period.
All the fibres at 270min of exposure became more brittle in comparison with starting coating stage or before coating. The morphology of these fibres was examined by a SEM to determine their shape and later to determine the mean diameter of them; as it expected this diameter will related to the amount of salt, this was done by using of a Scandium software from Olympic. The mean diameter values calculation of the three collected fibre is illustrated in Table 3. The data in Table 3 shows that the spun from the lower concentration of Ferric ions has smaller diameter in compared to that spun from high Fe$^{+3}$ concentration PS solutions.

Table (3): Lists average diameter calculation of the spun fibres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Percent of FCHH</th>
<th>Mean diameter µm</th>
<th>Minimum Diameter µm</th>
<th>Max Diameter µm</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Py 11</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py 14</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py 15</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SEM images in Figure (4) show PS/FCHH fibres before and after coating with Ppy and indicates fibres welding which leads to shrinking of fibres volume. In this instance, Py15a-d (lower FCHH content) showed a good response to coating, and SEM images indicate the Ppy layer was inhomogeneous. In contrast, fibres spun from higher ferric chloride solutions, like Py14a-d or Py11a-d, become more distinguishable as their diameter increases[24]. In addition, the TEM images in figure (5) prove that Ppy developed as an inhomogeneous coating after the Ppy coating had been applied to the fibres. Accordingly, the morphology of Ppy layer will be related to both the concentration of oxidization agent and to the diameter of the substrate (the electrospun fibres).
Due to its low solubility characterisation of Polypyrrole is difficult. However here we are able to identify of Ppy using X-ray diffractometer which was performed for best coated sample at the longest period of exposure of sample Py15 and before coating. XRD results show two main zones for spun fibre of PS/FCHH, the first zone includes two peaks (a and b) in region of 12 and 20 respectively both peaks are belong to coating syndoatactice polystyrene[25–27] as it illustrates in figure (6).

Figure (4) A group of images taken by SEM for spun fibres before and after treated by pyrrole, from the top image I represents a spun PS fibre of solution Py15 before exposing to pyrrole, Image II show sample Py15-c, Image III illustrates pattern of coating with Ppy of large diameter single fibre of sample Py11-d, and image IV shows the welding pattern of two fibre inside experiment Py14-d.
Another zone came as abroad peak with lower intensity in region 35-45 related to Ferric ion (III). After process a new zone can be seen as shoulder to the second peak which is marked as d zone, this zone can be found around 20 degree which is pointing directly to Ppy ; usually this peak came as abroad peak even with a pure Ppy prepared by the same oxidizing agent[28].Another technique was used to confirm Ppy formation, where a sample of a coated PS fibres with Ppy where dissolved in DMF, both of PS and Ferric inorganic halogen were dissolved while the PPy filtered out as black precipitate and washing with DCM several time to remove any DMF and dried inside a vacuumed oven at 40C for 1 h, then tested with XRD diffractometer.

Figure (5) Images taken by TEM; before coating with Ppy (left) and after coating (right).

Figure (6) Shows three diagrams of XRD from I to III of electrospun PS fibres, Py15 and Py15-d in sequence from top to bottom respectively.
Conclusions

A route to producing pyrrole-coated fibres has been explored and developed. The optimum conditions for coating ferric chloride-doped fibres with pyrrole require reasonably high concentrations. What is more, particular needs to be taken after the period of exposure as a long exposure, the fibre will lose its discrete structure. The result of the XRD test shows a light peak close to 20, which refer to Ppy. The morphology of the coated Ppy was examined with TEM, and the images reveal that the Ppy coated the whole fibres and cumulated as attached beads on the fibre’s surface. Moreover, fibres spun from solution with a lower amount of Ferric chloride show better coating response comparably. It may also be explained by the fact that as the diameter of electrospun fibres becomes finer, the surface area increases, so pyrrole vapor can develop more readily.
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