

**Original Article****Cubic Q-positive implicative ideals in Q-algebras****Hussein Abbas Habib¹, Fatema faisal Kareem *¹**¹ Department of Mathematics, Ibn-Al-Haitham college of Education, University of Baghdad, Baghdad 10001, Iraq.Received 24 January 2026; revised 06 May 2026;
accepted 30 May 2026; available online 06 July 2026

DOI: 10.24271/PSR.2026.572190.2563

ABSTRACT

A cubic Q-algebra is a generalization of a fuzzy Q-algebra. This work explores important concepts in Q-algebras, including cubic Q-positive implicative ideals, cubic Q-implicative ideals, and cubic Q-commutative ideals, and investigates their fundamental properties. The most important results obtained are: In a commutative Q-algebra. If any ideal is a cubic positive Q-implicative ideal, then it is a cubic Q-implicative ideal. But the converse does not hold. There is no connection between cubic positive Q-implicative ideals and cubic Q-commutative ideals, nor is there a relationship between cubic Q-implicative ideals and cubic Q-commutative ideals. Any cubic Q-positive implicative ideal is a cubic BCK-ideal, and the converse statement is true under certain conditions.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Keywords: Q-algebra, cubic Q-ideal, cubic Q-positive implicative ideals, cubic Q-commutative ideals, a cubic Q-implicative ideal.

1 Introduction

Two new classes of abstract algebras, BCK and BCI-algebra, were introduced by Imai and Iseki^[1]. BCI-algebra is a generalization of BCK-algebra. Many papers presented this structure in different ways; see^[2, 3, 4, and 5].

Hu and Li^[6] studied BCH-algebra, and they showed that the class of BCI-algebra is a proper subclass of BCH-algebra. Neggers et al.^[7] introduced the notion of Q-algebra, which is a generalization of BCK/BCI/BCH-algebras. In 2009, Abdel Naby et al.^[8] introduced the fuzzy Q-ideal in Q-algebra, and Mostafa et al.^[9 and 10] studied the notions of interval-valued fuzzy Q-ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy Q-ideals. After that, Abdullah and Jawad^[11] discussed new types of Pseudo ideals in Pseudo Q-algebra.

Combining the idea of fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets, Jun et al.^[12 and 13] introduced the concept of cubic sets. They applied it to a subalgebra and a q-ideal of a BCK-algebra and studied some of the relations between them. Yaqoob et al.^[14] studied a cubic KU-ideals and they proved some important results. Some papers introduced a cubic set in different ways, see^[15, 16 and 17].

Satyanarayana and Prasad^[18] presented some results on fuzzy (implicative, positive implicative and commutative) ideals in BCK-algebras. Senapati and Shum^[19] studied cubic implicative

ideals for a BCK-algebra and kareem et al.^[20] studied the concept of cubic positive implicative ideals in a KU-semigroup. They study a cubic positive implicative ideal and the relation between a cubic positive implicative ideal and cubic implicative (commutative) ideals. Also, these types of ideals can be applied to several topological ideals and open sets in topological spaces, see^[21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26].

In this work, the three ideals in Q-algebra, such as cubic (Q-positive implicative, Q-implicative, and Q-commutative) ideals, are studied, and some relationship among these types of ideals is discussed.

2 Basic concepts

Below, we will review some useful definitions, examples, and theories to complete our work.

Definition 2. 1^[1]: A BCK-algebra defined as a non-empty set $\mathfrak{N}$ with a [binary relation](#) $*$ and a constant 0 satisfying: For all $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$

$$\text{BCI}_1 : [(\theta * \lambda) * (\theta * \epsilon)] * (\epsilon * \lambda) = 0,$$

$$\text{BCI}_2 : (\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) * \lambda = 0,$$

$$\text{BCI}_3 : \theta * \theta = 0,$$

$$\text{BCI}_4 : \theta * \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \lambda * \theta = 0 \text{ implies } \theta = \lambda,$$

$$\text{BCI}_5 : 0 * \theta = 0.$$

* Corresponding author

E-mail address fatma.f.k@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq (Instructor).

Peer-reviewed under the responsibility of the University of Garmian.

In a BCK-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$, a binary operation $\leq$ define by $\theta \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\theta * \lambda = 0$.

And then the following axioms hold. For all $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$,

$$BCI'_1: [(\theta * \lambda) * (\theta * \epsilon)] \leq (\epsilon * \lambda),$$

$$BCI'_2: (\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) \leq \lambda,$$

$$BCI'_3: \theta \leq \theta,$$

$$BCI'_4: \theta \leq \lambda \text{ and } \lambda \leq \theta \text{ implies } \theta = \lambda \text{ and}$$

$$BCI'_5: 0 \leq \theta.$$

Proposition 2. 2 ^[1]: In a BCK-algebra $\mathfrak{N}$, we have $(\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon = (\theta * \epsilon) * \lambda, \forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Definition 2. 3 ^[1]: In a BCK-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. The non-empty subset ψ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is called a BCK-ideal iff

$$1- 0 \in \psi$$

$$2- \theta * \lambda \in \psi \text{ and } \lambda \in \psi \text{ imply } \theta \in \psi.$$

Definition 2. 4 ^[7]: A Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$ is a nonempty subset $\mathfrak{N}$ with a constant “0” and a binary operation “*” satisfying the following:

$$(Q_1) \theta * \theta = 0$$

$$(Q_2) \theta * 0 = \theta,$$

$$(Q_3) (\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon = (\theta * \epsilon) * \lambda, \forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$, a binary operation $\leq$ define by $\theta \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\theta * \lambda = 0$.

Example 2. 5 ^[7]: Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{0, x, z\}$ be a set as shown in the table below.

Table 1: A Q-algebra.

*	0	z	x
0	0	0	0
z	z	0	0
x	x	0	0

Then $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$ is a Q-algebra.

Proposition 2. 6 ^[7]: If $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$ is a Q-algebra, then $(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) * \lambda = 0$ for any $\theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Definition 2. 7: A Q-algebra $\mathfrak{N}$ is said to be commutative if it satisfies the identity

$$(\theta * (\theta * \lambda)) = (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta)), \text{ for all } \theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

Example 2. 8: Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{0, l, m, n\}$ be a set and * a binary operation defined as follows

Table 2: a commutative Q-algebra.

*	0	l	m	n
0	0	0	0	0
l	l	0	0	l
m	m	l	0	m
n	n	n	n	0

It is easy to show that $\mathfrak{N}$ is a commutative Q-algebra.

Definition 2. 9 ^[7]: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. The non-empty subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is called a Q-subalgebra if $\theta * \lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ whenever $\theta, \lambda \in \mathcal{L}$.

Definition 2.10 ^[7]: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. The non-empty subset ψ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is called a Q-ideal if,

$$i) 0 \in \psi,$$

$$ii) \forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}, ((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \in \psi, \lambda \in \psi \text{ imply } \theta * \epsilon \in \psi.$$

Example 2. 11 ^[7]: Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{0, l, m, n, k\}$ be a set defined by the table below.

Table 3: A Q-ideal.

*	0	l	m	n	k
0	0	0	0	0	k
l	l	0	0	l	k
m	m	m	0	0	k
n	n	0	n	0	k
k	k	k	k	k	0

Then $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$ is a Q-algebra and it is clear that the set $\psi = \{0, l, m, n\}$ is a Q-ideal of $\mathfrak{N}$.

Proposition 2.12 ^[7]: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. Every Q-ideal of $\mathfrak{N}$ is a BCK-ideal.

Definition 2.13: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. The non-empty subset ψ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is called a commutative Q-ideal if, for each $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$,

$$i) 0 \in \psi,$$

$$ii) ((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \in \psi, \epsilon \in \psi \text{ imply } (\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \in \psi.$$

Definition 2.14 ^[3]: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. A fuzzy set μ in $\mathfrak{N}$ is called a fuzzy BCK-ideal of $\mathfrak{N}$, if

$$(1) \mu(0) \geq \mu(\theta), \text{ for any } \theta \in \mathfrak{N}$$

$$(2) \mu(\theta) \geq \min\{\mu(\theta * \lambda), \mu(\lambda)\}, \text{ for any } \theta, \lambda \text{ in } \mathfrak{N}.$$

Definition 2.15 ^[8]: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. A fuzzy set μ in $\mathfrak{N}$ is called a fuzzy Q-ideal, if

$$(1) \mu(0) \geq \mu(\theta), \text{ for any } \theta \in \mathfrak{N}$$

- (2) $\mu(\theta * \epsilon) \geq \min\{\mu((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \mu(\lambda)\}$, for any $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon$ in $\aleph$.

Definition 2. 16 ^[27]: An interval valued fuzzy set is $V = \langle \theta, \tilde{\pi}(\theta) \rangle$, where $\tilde{\pi}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$, defined as $\tilde{\pi} = \{(\theta, [\pi^L(\theta), \pi^U(\theta)]) : \theta \in \aleph\}$, where π^L and π^U are two fuzzy sets such that $\pi^L(\theta) \leq \pi^U(\theta)$ and $D[0,1] = \{[m^L, m^U] : m^L \leq m^U \text{ for } m^L, m^U \in [0, 1]\}$, which is all closed sub-intervals of $[0,1]$.

Definition 2.17 ^[13]: The structure $\varpi = \{(\theta, \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\theta)) : \theta \in \aleph\}$ is called a cubic set in $\aleph$, such that

$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) = [\pi_\varpi^L(\theta), \pi_\varpi^U(\theta)]$, where $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi : \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$ is an interval valued fuzzy set and $\alpha_\varpi : \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a fuzzy set. The cubic set can be written in short form as $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$. The level subset of $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ which is denoted by $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ can be defined as $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) = \{\theta \in \aleph : \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq \tilde{t}, \alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq r\}$, for every $[0,0] \leq \tilde{t} \leq [1,1]$ and $r \in [0,1]$.

Definition 2.18 ^[28]: In a Q-algebra $\aleph$. A cubic set $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is called a cubic Q-sub-algebra if for each $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$. Then

$$(q_1) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}.$$

$$(q_2) \alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \forall \theta, \lambda \in \aleph.$$

Definition 2.19 ^[12]: In a Q-algebra $\aleph$. A cubic set $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is called a cubic BCK-ideal if: for each $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$.

$$(H_1) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \text{ and } \alpha_\varpi(0) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta).$$

$$(H_2) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}.$$

Definition 2. 20 ^[28]: In a Q-algebra $\aleph$. A cubic set $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is called a cubic Q-ideal if: for each $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$.

$$(B_1) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \text{ and } \alpha_\varpi(0) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta),$$

$$(B_2) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}.$$

3 Cubic Q-positive implicative-ideals of a Q-algebra

This part presents definitions of the cubic Q-positive implicative ideal, the cubic Q-implicative ideal, and the cubic Q-commutative ideal of Q-algebras, as well as some of the relationships that connect these ideals.

Definition 3. 1: In a Q-algebra $\aleph$. The cubic set $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is called a **cubic Q-positive implicative ideal** if for each $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$

$$(CP_1) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta), \text{ and } \alpha_\varpi(0) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta)$$

$$(CP_2) \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda * \epsilon)\},$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda * \epsilon)\}.$$

Example 3.2: Let $\aleph = \{0, l, m, n, k\}$ be a set defined as example 2.11 and define $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$ and $\alpha_\varpi(\theta)$ by

Table 4: a commutative Q-algebra.

$\aleph$	$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta)$	$\alpha_\varpi(\theta)$
0	[0.1,0.9]	0.1
l	[0.1,0.7]	0.2
m	[0.1,0.6]	0.4
n	[0.1,0.3]	0.3
k	[0.1,0.3]	0.5

We can simply prove that $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal.

Proposition 3. 3: In a Q-algebra $\aleph$. Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ be a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal and $\theta \leq \lambda$, then $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)$ and $\alpha_\varpi(\lambda) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\theta)$.

Proof.

Since $\theta \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \theta * \lambda = 0$, since $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda * \epsilon)\}, \text{ put } \epsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * 0) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda * 0)\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} = rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(0), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\} = \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda), \text{ also} \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda * \epsilon)\}, \text{ put } \epsilon = 0$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * 0) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda * 0)\}$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\} = \max\{\alpha_\varpi(0), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\} = \alpha_\varpi(\lambda). \square$$

Proposition 3. 4: Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ be a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal. If the inequality $\theta * \lambda \leq \epsilon$ holds, then $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}$ and $\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}$.

Proof. Let $\theta * \lambda \leq \epsilon$, then by pro. 3.3. $\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\epsilon)$ and $\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\epsilon)$ and since $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_\varpi, \alpha_\varpi \rangle$ is a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda * \epsilon)\}, \text{ put } \epsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * 0) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda * 0)\} \\ \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \text{ but } \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \geq \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\epsilon), \text{ then} \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\theta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \text{ also}$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \epsilon) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda * \epsilon)\}, \text{ put } \epsilon = 0$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta * 0) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda * 0)\}$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \text{ but } \alpha_\varpi(\theta * \lambda) \leq \alpha_\varpi(\epsilon), \text{ then}$$

$$\alpha_\varpi(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_\varpi(\epsilon), \alpha_\varpi(\lambda)\}, \text{ That's what's needed. } \square$$

Theorem 3. 5: Any a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal of $\aleph$ is a cubic BCK-ideal.

Proof. Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda * \epsilon)\}, \\ \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) &\leq max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda * \epsilon)\}, \text{ put } \epsilon = 0 \text{ we get} \\ \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * 0) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda * 0)\}, \\ \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} \dots \dots (1), \text{ and} \\ \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * 0) &\leq max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda * 0)\} \\ \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) &\leq max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda)\} \dots \dots (2)\end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (2) implying that $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic BCK-ideal.

The next example indicates that the converse of the above theorem does not hold.

Example3. 6: Let $\aleph = \{0, l, m, n\}$ be a set defined by the table below.

Table 5: a Q-algebra.

*	0	<i>l</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>
0	0	0	0	0
<i>l</i>	<i>l</i>	0	0	<i>l</i>
<i>m</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>m</i>	0	<i>m</i>
<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	0

The triple $(\aleph, *, 0)$ is a Q-algebra. Define $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta)$ and $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$ by

Table 6: a cubic BCK-ideal.

$\aleph$	$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta)$	$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$
0	[0.1,0.8]	0.1
<i>l</i>	[0.1,0.2]	0.5
<i>m</i>	[0.1,0.4]	0.4
<i>n</i>	[0.1,0.5]	0.4

We can simply prove that $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic BCK-ideal but it is not a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal, since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(l * n) &= [0.1,0.2] < rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((l * m) * n), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(m * n)\}, \\ \alpha_{\varpi}(l * n) &> max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((l * m) * n), \alpha_{\varpi}(m * n)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. 7: Let $(\aleph, *, 0)$ be a Q-algebra. If $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal of $\aleph$, then $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic BCK-ideal and satisfying the condition

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda) \quad , \quad \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda), \forall \theta, \lambda \in \aleph.$$

Proof. Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal, then $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic BCK-ideal and taking $\epsilon = \lambda$ in definition 3.1. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda * \lambda)\} \\ &= rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0)\} \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda), \text{ and} \\ \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) &\leq max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda * \lambda)\} \\ &= max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda), \alpha_{\varpi}(0)\} \\ &= \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \lambda). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Now, the following theorem explains the relationship between the cubic BCK ideal and the cubic Q-positive implicative ideal under certain conditions.

Theorem 3. 8: Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic BCK-ideal, then $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal $\Leftrightarrow$ The following condition holds, for each $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$.

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \quad \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon).$$

Proof. Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic BCK-ideal satisfying the above condition, we have $\forall \theta, \lambda \in \aleph$, $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda * \epsilon)\}$

$$\geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda * \epsilon)\}$$

$$\text{and } \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \epsilon) \leq max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda * \epsilon)\}.$$

$$\leq max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda * \epsilon)\}.$$

Hence $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal.

Conversely, let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic Q-positive implicative ideal and it is a cubic BCK-ideal.

Now, let $m = (\theta * (\lambda * \epsilon))$ and $n = \theta * \lambda$, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((m * n) * \epsilon) = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}([(\theta * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * (\theta * \lambda)] * \epsilon) \quad \text{and by } BCI_1', \text{ we have}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}([(\theta * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * (\theta * \lambda)] * \epsilon) \leq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\lambda * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * \epsilon) \quad \text{and by Proposition 2.2, we get}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\lambda * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * \epsilon) = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\lambda * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0). \text{ Also,}$$

$$\alpha_{\varpi}((m * n) * \epsilon) = \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * (\theta * \lambda)) * \epsilon$$

$$\leq \alpha_{\varpi}((\lambda * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * \epsilon) = \alpha_{\varpi}((\lambda * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)) = \alpha_{\varpi}(0)$$

It follows that, by applied proposition 2.2 and the condition Cp_2 we obtain

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)) = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * \epsilon)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon)), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(n * \epsilon)\} \\
& = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(m * \epsilon) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((m * n) * \epsilon)), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(n * \epsilon)\} \\
& = rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon)\} = \\
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon). \text{ Also,} \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \epsilon) * (\lambda * \epsilon)) = \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * (\lambda * \epsilon)) * \epsilon) \\
& = \alpha_{\varpi}(m * \epsilon) \\
& \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((m * n) * \epsilon)), \alpha_{\varpi}(n * \epsilon)\} \\
& = \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(0), \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon)\} = \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon). \square
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.9. In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. The cubic set $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is named a **cubic Q-implicative ideal** if $\forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (C_{I_1}) \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \text{ and } \alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \\
& (C_{I_2}) \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) * \epsilon, \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}, \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) * \epsilon, \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. 10: The cubic BCK-ideal of $\mathfrak{N}$ is a cubic Q-implicative ideal if it satisfies the condition

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)), \quad \forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$$

Proof. Suppose $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic BCK-ideal and satisfies the above condition, it follows that

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) * \epsilon, \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)) * \epsilon, \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}. \text{ Then}$$

$\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-implicative ideal of $\mathfrak{N}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.11: In a commutative Q-algebra $\mathfrak{N}$. If $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is a cubic positive Q-implicative ideal, then it is a cubic Q-implicative ideal.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{N}$ is a commutative Q-algebra and by using Theorem 3.8 and (Q_3) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\theta * (\lambda * \theta))) = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\lambda * \theta) * ((\lambda * \theta) * \theta)) \geq \\
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\lambda * (\lambda * \theta)) * \theta) \\
& = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\lambda * \theta) * (\lambda * \theta) = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0). \text{ Also,} \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\theta * (\lambda * \theta))) = \alpha_{\varpi}((\lambda * \theta) * ((\lambda * \theta) * \theta)) \\
& \leq \alpha_{\varpi}((\lambda * (\lambda * \theta)) * \theta) \\
& = \alpha_{\varpi}(\lambda * \theta) * (\lambda * \theta) = \alpha_{\varpi}(0)
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.5, we have $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic BCK-ideal, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * (\theta * (\lambda * \theta)))), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta))\} \\
& \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta))\} = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)). \text{ Also,} \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * (\theta * (\lambda * \theta)))), \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta))\} \\
& = \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}(0), \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta))\} = \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * \theta)). \text{ Therefore} \\
& \text{from theorem 3.10, we get } \varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle \text{ is a cubic Q-implicative} \\
& \text{ideal. } \square
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3. 12: In a Q-algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$. The cubic set $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{N}$ is named a **cubic Q-commutative ideal** if $\forall \theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \mathfrak{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (CC_1) \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta), \text{ and } \alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \\
& (CC_2) \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}.$$

Example 3.13: Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{0, l, m, n\}$ be a set an example 3.6. We can define $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta)$ and $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$ by

Table 7: a cubic Q-commutative ideal.

$\mathfrak{N}$	$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta)$	$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$
0	[0.5,0.8]	0.2
l	[0.4,0.5]	0.3
m	[0.1,0.3]	0.5
n	[0.1,0.3]	0.5

Then it is easy to prove that $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-commutative ideal.

Theorem 3.14: In Q-algebra $\mathfrak{N}$. The cubic Q-ideal of $\mathfrak{N}$ is a cubic Q-commutative ideal if and only if it satisfies the two conditions, for each $\theta, \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}$.

$$\text{(a)} \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) \leq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \text{ and } \text{(b)} \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) \geq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))).$$

Proof. Let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic Q-commutative ideal, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}, \text{ and} \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}. \text{ Taking} \\
& \epsilon = 0, \text{ we get} \\
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0)\} = \\
& \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda). \text{ Also,} \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * 0), \alpha_{\varpi}(0)\} = \\
& \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ be a cubic Q-ideal which satisfies the two conditions, then

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\} \text{ and by substituting}$$

(a), we obtain

$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$, and
 $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$, substituting (b),
 we get

$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$, that is

$\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-commutative ideal. $\square$

Theorem 3.15: In Q-algebra $\aleph$, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-commutative ideal of $\aleph$.
- (ii) Every nonempty cubic level set of $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a commutative Q-ideal of $\aleph$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-commutative ideal of $\aleph$.

Let $\lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$, $\tilde{t} \in D[0,1]$, $r \in [0,1]$ and $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\theta \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$, then $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) \leq r$.

Thus, $0 \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$.

Let $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$ such that $((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ and $\epsilon \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$. Then,

$\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \geq \tilde{t}$, $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon \leq r$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon) \geq \tilde{t}$, $\alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon) \leq r$.

It follows that $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\} = r \min\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}\} = \tilde{t}$ and

$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \leq \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\} = \max\{r, r\} = r$.

Therefore $((\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta)))) \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ and by definition 2.13, then $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ is a commutative Q-ideal.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)

Let $U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r) \neq \emptyset$ and it is a commutative Q-ideal of $\aleph$, for all $r \in [0,1]$ and $\tilde{t} \in D[0,1]$.

Assume that $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta) = \tilde{t}$ and $\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta) = r$, for any $\theta, \lambda \in \aleph$.

Since, $0 \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$, then $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(0) \geq \tilde{t} = \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta)$ and $\alpha_{\varpi}(0) \leq r = \alpha_{\varpi}(\theta)$, for all $\theta \in \aleph$. Hence $(\mathcal{CC}_1)$ hold.

For any $\theta, \lambda, \epsilon \in \aleph$, let $\tilde{t} = r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$ and $r = \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$. Then, $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \geq \tilde{t}$, $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \leq r$, $\alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon) \leq r$, that is $((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon) \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$ and

$\epsilon \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$. It follows from hypothesis that $(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \in U(\varpi, \tilde{t}, r)$.

Thus $\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \geq \tilde{t} = r \min\{\tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$ and

$\alpha_{\varpi}(\theta * (\lambda * (\lambda * \theta))) \leq r = \max\{\alpha_{\varpi}((\theta * \lambda) * \epsilon), \alpha_{\varpi}(\epsilon)\}$.

Therefore, $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic Q-commutative ideal. $\square$

5 Discussion and Conclusion

This study explored various types of cubic ideals in Q-algebra, specifically focusing on (the cubic Q-positive implicative, the cubic Q-implicative, and the cubic Q-commutative) ideals. It discusses several important properties of these ideals and presents a few relationships among them.

In our work, the relationship between the three ideals (cubic Q-positive implicative, cubic Q-implicative and a cubic Q-commutative ideal) is as follows:

- 1- In a commutative Q-algebra $\aleph$. If $\varpi = \langle \tilde{\pi}_{\varpi}, \alpha_{\varpi} \rangle$ is a cubic positive Q-implicative ideal, then it is a cubic Q-implicative ideal. However, the converse does not hold.
- 2- There is no connection between cubic positive Q-implicative ideals and cubic Q-commutative ideals, nor is there a relationship between cubic Q-implicative ideals and cubic Q-commutative ideals.
- 3- Any cubic Q-positive implicative ideal of $\aleph$ is a cubic BCK-ideal, yet the converse statement is not true.
- 4- It has also been established that under certain conditions, a link exists between cubic BCK ideals and cubic Q-positive implicative ideals.

In the future, we may be able to study the following ideas: a cubic of soft fuzzy ideal, a cubic neutrosophic ideal and a graph of a cubic fuzzy ideal in Q-algebras, drawing on research such as [29, 30, and 31].

Funding

This research received no external funding

Acknowledgment

The researchers extend their thanks and appreciation to all those who contributed by providing suggestions and advice for this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Imai, Y. & Iseki, K. On axiom systems of Propositional calculi, XIV. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **42**(1), 19-22, doi:10.3792/pja/1195522169 (1966).

- [2] Dogra, S. & Pal, M. m-Polar Picture Fuzzy Ideal of a BCK Algebra. *Int J Comput Intell Syst* **13**(1), 409–20, <https://doi.org/10.2991/ijcis.d.200330.001> (2020).
- [3] Zhang, X. & Du, Y. A Class of BCI-Algebra and Quasi-Hyper BCI-Algebra. *Axioms* **11**(2), 1–20, doi: 10.3390/axioms11020072 (2022).
- [4] Khalid, H.M. & Ahmad, B. Fuzzy H-ideals in BCI-algebras. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **101**, 153–158, doi:10.5281/zenodo.3382551 (1999).
- [5] Meng, J. & Guo, X é. On fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **149**(3), 509–25, doi:10.1016/j.fss.2003.11.014 (2005).
- [6] Hu, Q. & Li, X. On BCH-algebras. *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.* **11**(2), 313–320, doi:10.24546/0100489712 (1983).
- [7] Neggers, J., Ahn, S. & Kim, H. On Q-algebras. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **27** (12), 749–757, doi:10.1155/S0161171201006627 (2001).
- [8] Abdel Naby, M., Mostafa, S. & El-Gendy, U. Fuzzy Q-ideals in Q-algebras. *Journal of Faculty of Education, Sci. Section* **33**, 127–158, (2009).
- [9] Mostafa, S., Abdel Naby, M. & Elgendy, O. Interval-Valued Fuzzy Q-Ideals in Q-Algebras. *International Journal of Algebra* **5**(27), 1337–1345, (2011).
- [10] Mostafa, S., Abdel Naby, M. & Elgendy, O. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Q-Ideals in Q-Algebras. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **8**(2), 135–144, doi:10.30948/afmi.2022.23.2.161 (2012).
- [11] Abdullah, H.K. & Jawad, H. K. New Types of Pseudo Ideals in Pseudo Q-algebra. *Baghdad Sci J* **16**(2), 403–408, doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2019.16.2.0403 (2019).
- [12] Jun, Y.B., Kim, C.S. & Kang, M.S. Cubic subalgebras and ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **2** (44), 239–250, doi:10.5831/HMJ.2015.37.2.207 (2010).
- [13] Jun, Y.B., Kim, C.S. & Yang, K.O. Cubic sets. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics* **1**(4), 83–98, doi:10.5831/HMJ.2015.37.2.207 (2012).
- [14] Yaqoob, N., Mostafa, S. & Ansari, M. On cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras. *ISRN Algebra*, Article ID 935905, doi:10.1155/2013/935905 (2013).
- [15] Akram, M., Yaqoob, N. & Gulistan, M. Cubic KU-subalgebras. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **89**(5), 659–665, doi:10.12732/ijpam.v89i5.2 (2013).
- [16] Othman, H. J. & Khalaf, A.B. Cubic B-subalgebras and Its Properties. *Mathematics and Statistics*, **13**(3), 145–153, doi: 10.13189/ms.2025.130303 (2025).
- [17] Lee, J.G., Hur, K. & Mostafa, S.M. Cubic bipolar structures of BCC-ideal on BCC-algebras. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics* **20**(1), 89–103, (2020).
- [18] Satyanarayana, B. & Madhavi, U.B. Fuzzy positive implicative extension ideals in BCK-algebras. *British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* **12**(4), 1–9, doi: 10.9734/BJMCS/2016/20217 (2016).
- [19] Senapati, T. & Shum, K.P. Cubic implicative ideals of BCK-algebras. *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **29**(2), 125–138, doi:10.35834/mjms/1534384948 (2017).
- [20] Kareem, F.F., Mostafa, S.M. & Hasan, O.A. Cubic of Positive Implicative Ideals in KU-Semigroup. *Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences* **37**(1), 454–463, doi:10.30526/37.1.3112 (2024).
- [21] Al-Saadi, H. & Al-Malki, H. Generalized primal topological spaces. *AIMS Mathematics* **8** (10), 24162–24175, doi:10.3934/math.20231232 (2023).
- [22] Namiq, S.F., Rosas, E. & Salas-Brown, M. New types of connected, component spaces and maximal open set via s-operation. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* **27**(3), 481–495, doi:10.47974/JIM-1723 (2024).
- [23] Darwesh, H.M. & Namiq, S.F. Pre-irresolute Functions in Closure Spaces. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **12**(3), 1082–1095, doi:10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i3.3317 (2019).
- [24] Hessean, N.O., Darwesh, H.M. & Namiq, S.F. J- ω^* -open sets and J- ω^* -topology in ideal topological spaces. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **51**, 218–231, (2024).
- [25] Namiq, S.F. & Darwesh, H.M. Generalized-pre-irresolute and λ -irresolute functions in closure spaces. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **46**, 221–233, (2021).
- [26] Rosas, E. & Namiq, S.F. On minimal λ -rc-open sets. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **43**, 868–877, doi:10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2020-02-0026 (2020).
- [27] Biswas, R.R. Fuzzy subgroups with interval valued membership function. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **63**(1), 87–90, doi:10.1016/0165-0114(94)90148-1 (1994).
- [28] Habib, H. A. & Kareem, F.F. Study of Cubic Q-ideals in Q-algebras. *Ibn AL-Haitham Journal For Pure and Applied Sciences* **39**(2), 268–275, doi:10.30526/39.2.4311 (2026).
- [29] Sangoor, A. A. & Hassan, A. Binary Soft Fuzzy approximation space. *Passer Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* **7**(2), 568–573, doi: 10.24271/psr.2025.515787.2065 (2025).
- [30] Abdullah, H. H., Noori, N. A., Mohammad, A. A., Khaleel, M. A. & Khalaf, N. S. Improving Financial Volatility Modeling Using neutrosophic Logic and Applying the GJR-GARCH Model. *Passer Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* **7** (2), 678–687, doi: 10.24271/psr.2025.508072.1961 (2025).
- [31] Jasim, A. N. On the Maximum Diameter of Graphs. *Passer Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* **7**(2), 729–732, doi: 10.24271/psr.2025.499398.1897 (2025).